

SEQUENCES OF EMBEDDED MINIMAL DISKS WHOSE CURVATURES BLOW UP ON A PRESCRIBED SUBSET OF A LINE

DAVID HOFFMAN AND BRIAN WHITE

INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we prove the following result about convergence of properly embedded minimal disks to a lamination:

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$C = \mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times (a, b) \subset \mathbf{R}^3$$

be an open solid circular cylinder, possibly infinite, that is rotationally symmetric about the z -axis, Z . Let K be a relatively closed subset of $Z \cap C$. Then there exists a sequence of minimal disks that are properly embedded in C and that have the following properties:

- (1) *The curvatures of the disks are uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $C \setminus K$ and blow up on K .¹*
- (2) *The minimal disks converge to a limit lamination of $C \setminus K$ consisting of the following leaves:*
 - (i) *For each $p \in K$, the horizontal punctured unit disk centered at p :*

$$\mathcal{D}_p = (C \setminus Z) \cap \{z = z(p)\};$$

- (ii) *For each component J of $(Z \setminus K) \cap C$, a leaf $\mathcal{M}_J$ that is properly embedded in the cylinder*

$$\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times z(J).$$

The leaf $\mathcal{M}_J$ contains the segment J and is therefore symmetric under rotation by 180° around Z . Each of the two connected components of $\mathcal{M}_J \setminus J$ is an infinitely-sheeted multigraph over the punctured unit disk, and $\partial z / \partial \theta > 0$ everywhere on $\mathcal{M}_J \setminus J$.

- (3) *The lamination extends smoothly to a lamination of $C \setminus \partial K$, but it does not extend smoothly to any point in $C \cap \partial K$.*

Date: November 13, 2009; revised June 3, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53A10; Secondary: 49Q05.

The research of the second author was supported by the NSF under grant DMS-0104049.

¹The curvatures of a sequence of minimal disks D_n blow up at a point p if there exists a sequence $\{p_n \in D_n\}$ with $p_n \rightarrow p$ such that the absolute value of the curvature of D_n at p_n tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Here $z(J)$ denotes the image of J under the coordinate map $z : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Note that according to Statement 2ii, each of the components of $\mathcal{M}_J \setminus J$ can be parametrized as

$$(r, \theta) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbf{R} \mapsto (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, f(r, \theta)).$$

Furthermore, if we let $(c, d) = z(J)$, then (by properness)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} f(r, \theta) &= c, \\ \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} f(r, \theta) &= d, \end{aligned}$$

the convergence being uniform away from $r = 0$.

Theorem 1 is well-known in case K is the entire interval $Z \cap C$: let the n th disk be the standard helicoid scaled by a factor of $1/n$ and restricted to C . Theorem 1 was proved by Colding and Minicozzi [CM04] when K consists of a single point, by Brian Dean [Dea06] when K is an arbitrary finite set of points, and very recently by Siddique Kahn [Kah08] when K is an interval with exactly one endpoint in C . Our work was inspired by Kahn's result, although the methods are very different: Kahn, like Colding, Minicozzi, and Dean, used the Weierstrass Representation, whereas our approach is variational.

In general, suppose that for each n we have a minimal disk D_n that is properly embedded in an open subset U_n of $\mathbf{R}^3$, where $U_n \subset U_{n+1}$ for each n . Let $U = \cup_n U_n$. By passing to a subsequence, we may suppose that there is a relatively closed subset K of U such that the curvatures of the D_n blow up at all points of K and such that the D_n converge smoothly in $U \setminus K$ to a limit lamination $\mathcal{L}$ of $U \setminus K$. It is natural to ask how general the set K can be, and to what extent the lamination and/or the leaves of the lamination can be smoothly extended to include points in K . In particular,

- Q1. Must the blow-up set K be contained in a Lipschitz curve? In a $C^{1,1}$ curve?
- Q2. If $p \in K$, must there be a leaf of the lamination that extends smoothly across p ?
- Q3. Given an arbitrary closed subset S of a Lipschitz (or a $C^{1,1}$) curve in the open set U , is there an example for which the blow-up set K is precisely S ?

Answers to these questions are known in some special cases.

Colding and Minicozzi proved that if $U = \mathbf{R}^3$ (the so-called “global case”) and if K is nonempty, then (after a rotation) K is the graph of a Lipschitz function $z \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto (x(z), y(z))$ and the lamination is the foliation by horizontal planes punctured at the points of K [CM04b, Theorem 0.1]. In particular, the lamination extends smoothly to all of $\mathbf{R}^3$. By [Mee04] (described below), the curve is in fact a straight line that is perpendicular to the planes.

In the local case $U \neq \mathbf{R}^3$, the behavior can be very different, as Theorem 1 indicates. Meeks proved that if the lamination extends smoothly to a foliation of U and if K is a Lipschitz curve that intersects the leaves transversely, then K is a $C^{1,1}$

curve and it intersects the leaves orthogonally [Mee04]. Meeks and Weber [MW07] constructed an example for which $U = \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus Z$, the blow-up set K is a horizontal circle centered at a point in Z , and the limit lamination consists of the vertical half-planes with Z as edge, punctured by K . They go on to prove that, given any $C^{1,1}$ curve, there is an example in which the blow-up set K is that curve, U is tubular neighborhood of K , and the lamination is a foliation of U by planar punctured disks orthogonal to K .

In the study of minimal varieties, the known examples of singularities have been rather tame. In particular, we believe that Theorem 1 provides the first examples of Cantor sets of singularities and of singular sets with non-integer Hausdorff dimension.

Our paper is organized as follows. We prove Theorem 1 in the next section. The proof depends on results in Sections 2 and 3. In Section 2, we prove existence and uniqueness theorems for embedded minimal disks with certain rotationally symmetric boundaries. In Section 3, we use Rado's Theorem to deduce curvature estimates for our examples.

1. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof. It suffices to prove the theorem for the unbounded cylinder $C = \mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times \mathbf{R}$: the case of bounded cylinders follows by restriction.

For each connected component J of $Z \setminus K$, choose a smooth embedded curve S_J in $\partial\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times J$ such that

- (1) The projection $(x, y, z) \mapsto (0, 0, z)$ induces a diffeomorphism from S_J to J .
- (2) The derivative $d\theta/dz$ is strictly positive at each point of S_J . (Here θ is the angle of the cylindrical coordinate of a point in $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus Z$. Of course, θ is defined only up to an integer multiple of 2π , but $d\theta/dz$ is well defined.)
- (3) The curve S_J winds around the cylinder infinitely many times as $z \rightarrow c$ and as $z \rightarrow d$, where c and d are the infimum and supremum, respectively, of z on J .

In other words, $\lim_{z \rightarrow c} \theta(z) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow d} \theta(z) = \infty$.

Now choose numbers $a_n \rightarrow -\infty$ and $b_n \rightarrow \infty$, and choose smooth curves γ_n in $\partial\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times [a_n, b_n]$ such that

- (i) The curve γ_n can be smoothly parametrized by $z \in [a_n, b_n]$, (That is, $(x, y, z) \mapsto z$ induces a diffeomorphism from γ_n to $[a_n, b_n]$.)
- (ii) The derivative $d\theta/dz$ is strictly positive at each point of γ_n .
- (iii) The γ_n converge smoothly to the lamination of ∂C consisting of the S_J 's together with the horizontal circles of radius 1 centered at points of K .

Let Γ_n be the simple closed curve consisting of γ_n and $Z \cap \{a_n \leq z \leq b_n\}$, together with two radial segments at heights $z = a_n$ and $z = b_n$. By Theorem 3, Γ_n bounds a unique embedded minimal disk D_n .

Extend D_n by repeated Schwartz reflection in the top and edges to get an infinite minimal strip $\tilde{D}_n$. The boundary of $\tilde{D}_n$ has two components: the axis Z , and the helix-like curve $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ in ∂C obtained from γ_n by iterated reflection.

To ensure that the boundary curve $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ is smooth, we need to impose one additional condition on the choice of γ_n :

- (iv) The even-order derivatives $d^k\theta/dz^k$ ($k = 2, 4, \dots$) vanish at the endpoints of γ_n .

(If this condition were not satisfied, then the curve $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ would not be smooth at the endpoints of γ_n .)

By Theorem 3, $\tilde{D}_n$ and its rotated images $R_\theta\tilde{D}_n$ foliate $C \setminus Z$. Thus if $D_n^* = \tilde{D}_n \cup \rho_Z\tilde{D}_n \cup Z$ is the minimal surface obtained from $\tilde{D}_n$ by Schwartz reflection in Z , then D_n^* is embedded. Here $\rho_Z = R_\pi$ denotes rotation by π about Z .

By Theorem 3 applied to D_n , each of the two connected components of $D_n^* \setminus Z$ is a multigraph over $\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$. (Of course those components are $\tilde{D}_n$ and $\rho_Z\tilde{D}_n$.) Thus if H is an open halfspace bounded by a plane containing Z , then $H \cap D_n^*$ is a union of graphs over a half-disk. Standard estimates for solutions of the minimal surface equation then imply that the principal curvatures of the closures of the D_n^* are uniformly bounded on compact subsets of H . Thus after passing to a subsequence, those graphs will converge smoothly (away from ∂H) to a collection $\mathcal{G}_H$ of minimal graphs over a half disk. Note that if G is such a graph, then $(\partial G) \cap H$ must be one of the following:

- (H1) a horizontal semicircle at height $z(p)$ for some $p \in K$, or
- (H2) one of the connected components of $S_J \cap H$ or one of the connected components of $(\rho_Z S_J) \cap H$, where J is a connected component of $Z \setminus K$.

Furthermore,

- (H3) If Γ is a connected component of $S_J \cap H$ or of $(\rho_Z S_J) \cap H$, then there is exactly one graph G in $\mathcal{G}_H$ whose boundary (in H) is Γ .

Since H is arbitrary, this means that (after passing to a subsequence) the $D_n^* \setminus Z$ will converge smoothly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus Z$ to a lamination $\mathcal{L}$ of $C \setminus Z$ consisting of a union of multigraphs. Because each leaf is embedded, it must either have a single sheet (and thus be a graph) or else have infinitely many sheets.

Let J be a component of $Z \setminus K$. By Theorem 4, the principal curvatures of the D_n^* are uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times z(J)$. Since the principal curvatures are also uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus Z$, this means that the curvatures are in fact uniformly bounded on compact subsets of $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus K$. Thus (perhaps after passing to a further subsequence) the D_n^* converge smoothly on compact subsets of $C \setminus K$ to a lamination $\mathcal{L}'$ of that region. Of course $\mathcal{L}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{L}'$ to the gutted cylinder $C \setminus Z$.

Claim 1. *The horizontal circle $\mathcal{C}_p$ of radius 1 centered at a point $p \in K$ bounds a unique leaf of $\mathcal{D}_p \in \mathcal{L}'$. That leaf is the planar punctured disk bounded by $\mathcal{C}_p$.*

Proof of Claim 1. As above, let H be an open halfspace of $\mathbf{R}^3$ with $Z \subset \partial H$. Then $\mathcal{L}' \cap H$ is a union of minimal graphs over a half-disk. Consider the set Q of those graphs that contain $\mathcal{C}_p \cap H$ in their boundaries. That set is compact, so there is an uppermost graph G_H in Q . Note that the union of the G_H as H varies (by rotating it around Z) forms a single smooth minimal graph G over the punctured disk $\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$. That graph satisfies the minimal surface equation. As a minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^3$, the boundary of G is the circle $\mathcal{C}_p$ together with some or all of Z . Since a solution to the minimal surface equation cannot have an isolated interior singularity, the graph extends to a regular minimal surface over $\mathbf{B}(0, 1)$. (See Theorem 10.2 of [Oss86]. The result is originally due to Bers [Ber51], but the proof in [Oss86] using catenoidal barriers is due to Finn [Fin65].) Since the boundary values define a planar circle, the graph must be a flat disk, so G is a flat planar disk. Recall that G is the uppermost leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ that contains $\mathcal{C}_p$. By the same argument, it is also the lowermost leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ containing $\mathcal{C}_p$. Thus it is the unique leaf in $\mathcal{L}$ containing $\mathcal{C}_p$. $\square$

Claim 2. *If $p \in K$, then there is a sequence $p_n \in D_n^*$ converging to p such that the norm of the second fundamental form of D_n^* at p_n tends to infinity.*

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose not. Then there is a ball $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ centered at p and a subsequence of the D_n^* (which we may take to be the original sequence) such that the curvatures of the D_n^* are uniformly bounded in $\mathbf{B}$. It follows that the lamination $\mathcal{L}'$ extends smoothly to a lamination of $\mathbf{B}$ and that the convergence of $D_n^* \cap \mathbf{B}$ to the lamination of $\mathbf{B}$ is smooth. By Claim 1, the leaf containing p is a horizontal disk. But each D_n^* contains the axis Z , and therefore has a vertical tangent plane at p . Hence the convergence cannot be smooth. This contradiction proves the claim. $\square$

We have now completely established Statement 1 of the Theorem 1, and we have established that there is a limit lamination of $C \setminus K$. We also know that for each $p \in K$, the punctured disk $\mathcal{D}_p$ is a leaf of this lamination. Thus we have proved Statement 2i.

To prove Statement 2ii, let $J = \{p \in Z : c < z(p) < d\}$ be one of the components of $Z \setminus K$. We now analyze the leaves of the foliation that lie between the punctured disks at heights c and d . Let $\mathcal{M}_J^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_J^-$ be the leaves of $\mathcal{L}$ that contain S_J and $\rho_Z(S_J)$, respectively. Note that by (H1) and (H2), these are both infinite covers of $\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$. By (H3), there are no other leaves of $\mathcal{L}$ in the region $\{c < z < d\}$.

Note that $J \subset D_n^*$ for every n , so J is contained in one of the leaves $\mathcal{M}_J$ of the lamination $\mathcal{L}'$. Now $\mathcal{M}_J$ is simply connected since each D_n^* is simply connected, so $\mathcal{M}_J \setminus Z$ must contain two components. These components are leaves of $\mathcal{L}$, so they

must be $\mathcal{M}_J^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_J^-$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_J = J \cup \mathcal{M}_J^+ \cup \mathcal{M}_J^-$ is the unique leaf of $\mathcal{L}'$ in the slab $\{c < z < d\}$.

Let H be an open halfspace of $\mathbf{R}^3$ bounded by a plane containing Z . Note that the components of $\mathcal{M}_J \cap H$ form a countable discrete set corresponding to the countable discrete set of components of $(S_J \cup \rho_Z S_J) \cap H$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_J$ is not a limit leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{L}'$.

It follows that $\mathcal{M}_J$ is properly embedded in $\Omega := \mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times (c, d)$. For if not, $\mathcal{L}'$ would have a limit leaf in Ω . But the only leaf in Ω is $\mathcal{M}_J$ itself, which, as we have just seen, is not a limit leaf. This proves properness.

Next we show that $\partial z / \partial \theta > 0$ on $\mathcal{M} \setminus J$. (The partial derivative makes sense because $\mathcal{M} \setminus J$ is locally a graph.) Since the two components of $\mathcal{M} \setminus J$ are related by the ρ_Z symmetry, it suffices to show that $\partial z / \partial \theta > 0$ on the component $M = \mathcal{M}_J^+$. Let ν be the unit normal vectorfield on M given by

$$\nu := \frac{(\nabla z, -1)}{W}$$

where $\nabla z = (\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial z}{\partial y})$ and $W = |(\nabla z, -1)|$. Note that the Killing field

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} := (\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta}, 0) = (-y, x, 0)$$

restricted to M is the initial velocity vectorfield of the one-parameter family $\theta \mapsto R_\theta M$ of minimal surfaces. Thus the function

$$u : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

$$u = \nu \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = W^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \right) = W^{-1} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta}$$

satisfies the Jacobi field equation

$$\Delta u + |A|^2 u = 0,$$

where A is the second fundamental form of M . (See [Cho90, Lemma 1].)

Now $\partial z / \partial \theta \geq 0$ on the disks D_n (by Theorem 3), so $\partial z / \partial \theta \geq 0$ on M . Thus u is nonnegative, so from the Jacobi field equation, we see that u is superharmonic. Hence by the maximum principle, u is either everywhere 0 or everywhere strictly positive. The same is true of $\partial z / \partial \theta = Wu$. Since $\partial z / \partial \theta > 0$ along the outer boundary curve S_J of M (by choice of S_J), it must be positive everywhere on M .

It remains to prove Statement 3 of the Theorem. By Claim 1, the punctured disks $\mathcal{D}_p$ with $p \in K$ are leaves of $\mathcal{L}'$. Each such leaf can be extended smoothly to include the puncture. This extension of $\mathcal{L}'$ is smooth near any point in the interior of K .

However, if $p \in \partial K$, then p is simultaneously the limit of points in $\mathcal{D}_p$ and the limit of points in $Z \setminus K$. At the former points, the tangent planes to $\mathcal{L}'$ are horizontal, whereas at the latter points the tangent planes are vertical. Thus the lamination $\mathcal{L}'$ cannot extend smoothly to any points in ∂K . $\square$

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF EMBEDDED MINIMAL DISKS WITH
 ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRIC BOUNDARIES

Theorem 2. *Let W be a nonempty, bounded, convex open subset of $\mathbf{R}^3$ that is rotationally symmetric about Z and let $I = W \cap Z$. Let R_θ be rotation about Z through angle θ . Suppose Γ is a piecewise smooth simple closed curve such that Γ contains I , such that $\Gamma \setminus I$ is contained in ∂W , and such that the curves*

$$R_\theta(\Gamma \setminus \bar{I}), \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi,$$

foliate $(\partial W) \setminus Z$. Then Γ bounds a unique embedded minimal disk D . Furthermore, the disks

$$R_\theta D, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$$

foliate $W \setminus I$.

Proof. Let

$$\gamma = \{(r, z) : r \geq 0 \text{ and } (r, 0, z) \in \partial W\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of piecewise smooth functions $f : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for which the curve

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_f = \{(r \cos f(r, z), r \sin f(r, z), z) : (r, z) \in \gamma\} \cup I.$$

bounds an embedded minimal disk D_f whose rotated images $R_\theta D_f$ foliate $W \setminus Z$.

Note that if f is constant, then Γ_f is a planar curve that bounds a planar disk whose rotated images foliate $W \setminus I$. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ contains all the constant functions.

Claim. *Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and that $g : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a piecewise smooth function such that*

$$\sup \|f - g\| < \pi/4.$$

Then g must also belong to $\mathcal{F}$.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is nonempty, the claim implies that $\mathcal{F}$ contains every piecewise smooth function. Thus once we have proved the claim, we will have proved the existence part of the theorem, because every Γ satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem can be written in the form (1).

Proof of Claim. Let

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{-\pi/4 \leq \theta \leq \pi/4} R_\theta D_f.$$

Note that Ω is mean convex and simply connected and that Γ_g is contained in $\partial\Omega$. Hence, Γ_g bounds a least-area disk D_g in Ω .

Suppose that the $R_\theta D_g$ do not foliate $W \setminus Z$. Then D_g and $R_\theta D_g$ intersect each other for some $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Any such θ must in fact be less than $\pi/2$ because

$$\begin{aligned} D_g &\subset \Omega, \\ R_\theta D_g &\subset R_\theta \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and because Ω and $R_\theta\Omega$ are disjoint for $\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Thus if α is the supremum of $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ for which D_g and $R_\theta D_g$ intersect each other, then $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/2$. The boundary curves Γ_g and $R_\alpha\Gamma_g$ intersect only on $\bar{I}$, so D_g and $R_\alpha D_g$ must be tangent at some point in $D_g \cup \bar{I}$. At each point of $\bar{I}$, the disks D_g and $R_\alpha D_g$ make an angle of $\alpha \neq 0$ with each other. So the point of tangency must lie in D_g . This contradicts the maximum principle. Hence the rotated images of D_g foliate $W \setminus Z$. In particular, D_g is embedded. This completes the proof of the claim. $\square$

We have proved the existence of a disk D with boundary $\Gamma \cup \bar{I}$ such that the rotated images of D foliate $W \setminus I$. It remains only to prove uniqueness. (In this paper, we never actually use the uniqueness.) Let Σ be any embedded minimal disk with boundary Γ . Since Σ is embedded, it has no boundary branch points, so that $\Sigma \cup I$ is a smooth manifold with boundary.

Since the disks $R_\theta D$ foliate $W \setminus I$, there is a unique continuous function

$$\omega : \bar{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

such that

- (1) $\omega = 0$ on Γ ,
- (2) $p \in R_{\omega(p)}D$ for $p \in \Sigma$, and
- (3) for $p \in I$, $R_{\omega(p)}D$ and Σ have the same tangent halfplane.

(If Σ were not simply connected, ω might only be well-defined up to multiples of 2π . That is, ω would take values in $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$. But since Σ is simply connected, we can lift ω to the universal cover $\mathbf{R}$ of $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$.)

By the maximum principle and the boundary maximum principle (applied to points in I), the maximum value of ω must be attained on Γ . Thus the maximum value of ω is 0. Similarly the minimum value is 0. Thus ω is identically 0, so $\Sigma = D$. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Let*

$$\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

be a smooth, strictly increasing function. Let Γ be the closed curve consisting of

$$(\cos \phi(z), \sin \phi(z), z), \quad a \leq z \leq b,$$

together with the segment $I = Z \cap \{a < z < b\}$ and two horizontal segments in the planes $z = a$ and $z = b$.

Then Γ bounds a unique embedded minimal disk D . The rotated images $R_\theta D$ foliate $C \setminus Z$, where C is the cylinder $\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times (a, b)$. The disk D can be parametrized as

$$(r, \theta) \mapsto (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, f(r, \theta))$$

for some function

$$f : [0, 1] \times [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

where $\alpha = \phi(a)$ and $\beta = \phi(b)$. That is, D is a multigraph over $\mathbf{B}(0, 1) \setminus \{0\}$. Furthermore, $f(r, \theta)$ is a strictly increasing function of θ for each r . In particular, $\partial f / \partial \theta$ is everywhere nonnegative.

Remark. In fact, $\partial f / \partial \theta$ is everywhere strictly positive. The proof is almost identical to the proof that $\partial z / \partial \theta > 0$ in Theorem 1(2ii).

Proof. Observe that $\Gamma \setminus I$ lies on the boundary of the solid cylinder $C = \mathbf{B}(0, 1) \times (a, b)$, and that the rotated images of $\Gamma \setminus \bar{I}$ foliate $\partial C \setminus \bar{I}$. Thus by Theorem 2, Γ bounds a unique embedded minimal disk D , and the rotated images $R_\theta D$ foliate $C \setminus I$.

Note that since D is simply connected, there is a continuous function $\theta : \bar{D} \setminus I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that for $p = (x, y, z) \in \bar{D} \setminus I$,

$$(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} (\cos \theta(p), \sin \theta(p)).$$

Note also that we can choose θ so that for $(x, y, z) \in \Gamma \setminus I$,

$$\theta(x, y, z) = \phi(z).$$

In particular, $\theta \equiv \alpha$ on $\Gamma \cap \{z = a\}$ and $\theta \equiv \beta$ on $\Gamma \cap \{z = b\}$, where $\alpha = \phi(a)$ and $\beta = \phi(b)$.

Since $D \cup I$ is a smooth manifold with boundary, the angle function θ extends smoothly to I .

By the maximum principle, θ cannot attain its maximum or its minimum at any interior point of D . By the boundary maximum principle, θ cannot attain its maximum or minimum at any point of I . Thus the maximum and minimum are attained on $\Gamma \setminus I$, so the minimum value is α and the maximum value is β .

To show that D is a multigraph, it suffices to show that the map

$$(2) \quad p \in \bar{D} \mapsto (r(p), \theta(p)) \in [0, 1] \times [\alpha, \beta]$$

is one-to-one. (It is onto by elementary topology.)

Let

$$S = \{(p, q) \in \bar{D} \times \bar{D} : r(p) = r(q) \text{ and } \theta(p) = \theta(q)\}.$$

By compactness, the function

$$(p, q) \in S \mapsto z(q) - z(p)$$

attains its maximum value $h = z(q_0) - z(p_0)$ at some $(p_0, q_0) \in S$. To show that the map (2) is one-to-one, it suffices to show that $h = 0$. To see that $h = 0$, let $r_0 := r(p_0) = r(q_0)$ and $\theta_0 := \theta(p_0) = \theta(q_0)$.

If $r_0 = 1$ or if θ_0 is α or β , then p_0 and q_0 are both in $\Gamma \setminus I$ by the maximum principle. But $p \mapsto (r(p), \theta(p))$ is one-to-one on $\Gamma \setminus I$ by choice of Γ , so in this case $h = 0$ and we are done.

Thus we may suppose that $r_0 < 1$ and that $\alpha < \theta_0 < \beta$. Now the minimal disks $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D} + (0, 0, h)$ are tangent at the point q_0 , but in neighborhood of that point $\bar{D}$

lies on one side of $\overline{D} + (0, 0, h)$. Thus by the strong maximum principle (if $r_0 > 0$) or by the strong boundary maximum principle (if $r_0 = 0$), the two disks coincide, which implies that $h = 0$.

It remains to show that $f(r, \theta)$ is a strictly increasing function of θ for each r . Since the disks $R_\alpha D$ foliate $C \setminus Z$, it follows (for each fixed r) that the graphs of the curves

$$C_\alpha : \theta \mapsto f(r, \theta - \alpha)$$

foliate the strip $\mathbf{R} \times (a, b)$. Thus the function $\theta \mapsto f(r, \theta)$ must be strictly monotonic. Since it is strictly increasing for $r = 1$, it must be strictly increasing for all r . $\square$

3. CURVATURE ESTIMATES VIA RADO'S THEOREM

Theorem 4. *Let $D \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ be a minimal disk contained in a vertical solid cylinder $C = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ of radius R , and let $\Gamma = \partial D$ be its boundary curve. Suppose that $\Gamma \cap \{a < z < b\}$ consists of two components, each of which is a C^1 curve whose tangent line has slope $\geq \epsilon > 0$ in absolute value at every point.*

Let

$$D_\delta = D \cap \{a + \delta < z < b - \delta\}$$

where $\delta > 0$. Then

- (1) *D_δ has no branch points.*
- (2) *The slope of the tangent plane at each point of D_δ is greater than or equal to*

$$\min \left\{ \epsilon, \frac{\delta}{2R} \right\}.$$

- (3) *For $p \in D_\delta$, the norm of the second fundamental form of D_δ at p is bounded by*

$$\frac{C}{\text{dist}(p, \partial D_\delta)},$$

where C is constant depending only on ϵ and δ/R .

The proof of Theorem 4 uses the following theorem of Rado:

Theorem (Rado). *If the boundary of a minimal disk in $\mathbf{R}^3$ intersects a plane in fewer than four points, then D has no branch points in the plane, and D intersects the plane transversely.*

See, for example, [Oss86, Lemma 7.5] or [DHKW92, p. 272] for a proof.

Proof of Theorem 4. For $a < t < b$, the set $\Gamma \cap \{z = t\}$ contains exactly two points. Thus by Rado's Theorem, the surface $D \cap \{a < z < b\}$ has no branch points and no horizontal tangent planes.

Now let $p \in D_\delta$ and let P be a plane through p whose slope is less than ϵ and less than $\delta/(2R)$. Since the slope is less than $\delta/(2R)$, the plane P does not intersect $\mathbf{B} \times (-\infty, a]$ or $\mathbf{B} \times [b, \infty)$. Thus

$$P \cap \Gamma = P \cap (\Gamma \cap \{a < z < b\}).$$

Since the slope of P is less than ϵ , the plane P intersects each of the two components of $\Gamma \cap \{a < z < b\}$ in at most one point. Thus P intersects Γ in at most two points, so P is not tangent to D at p by Rado's Theorem. This proves Assertion 2.

Assertion 3 follows from Assertion 2 because Assertion 3 holds for any minimal surface D_δ whose image under the Gauss map omits a nonempty open subset of the unit sphere, the constant C depending only on the size of that open set. This curvature estimate was proved by Osserman [Oss60, Theorem 1], who even obtained the optimal constant. Alternatively, the estimate without the optimal constant follows by a standard blow-up argument from the fact (also due to Osserman [Oss86, Theorem 8.1]) that the image of the Gauss map of a complete, nonflat minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^3$ must be dense in the unit 2-sphere. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ber51] Lipman Bers, *Isolated singularities of minimal surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **53** (1951), 364–386. MR0043335 (13,244c)
- [Cho90] Jaigyoung Choe, *Index, vision number and stability of complete minimal surfaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **109** (1990), no. 3, 195–212. MR1025170 (91b:53007)
- [CM04a] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, *Embedded minimal disks: proper versus nonproper—global versus local*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 1, 283–289 (electronic). MR2020033 (2004k:53011)
- [CM04b] ———, *The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold. IV. Locally simply connected*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 2, 573–615. MR2123933 (2006e:53013)
- [Dea06] Brian Dean, *Embedded minimal disks with prescribed curvature blowup*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 4, 1197–1204 (electronic). MR2196057 (2007d:53009)
- [Fin65] Robert Finn, *Remarks relevant to minimal surfaces, and to surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, J. Analyse Math. **14** (1965), 139–160. MR0188909 (32 #6337)
- [DHKW92] Ulrich Dierkes, Stefan Hildebrandt, Albrecht Küster, and Ortwin Wohlrab, *Minimal surfaces. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 295, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. Boundary value problems. MR1215267 (94c:49001a)
- [Kah08] Siddique Kahn, *A minimal lamination of the unit ball with singularities along a line segment*, Illinois J. of Math. (2008), to appear, available at [arXiv:0902.3641v2\[math.DG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.3641v2).
- [Mee04] William H. Meeks III, *Regularity of the singular set in the Colding-Minicozzi lamination theorem*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 2, 329–334. MR2066941 (2005d:53014)
- [MW07] William H. Meeks III and Matthias Weber, *Bending the helicoid*, Math. Ann. **339** (2007), no. 4, 783–798. MR2341900 (2008k:53020)
- [Oss60] Robert Osserman, *On the Gauss curvature of minimal surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1960), 115–128. MR0121723 (22 #12457)
- [Oss86] ———, *A survey of minimal surfaces*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1986. MR852409 (87j:53012)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305

E-mail address: hoffman@math.stanford.edu

E-mail address: white@math.stanford.edu